Bridging Cultures in the Digital Era: Pedagogical Strategies for a Culturally Responsive Classroom

Mahbub Zuhri[1*], Naser Ali Abdulghani[2], Muhammad Sularno[3], Mujhid Budi Luhur[3], RM. Imam Abdillah[4]

AFFILIATIONS
1. STAI Nurul Iman Bogor, Indonesia
2. University of Benghazi, Libya
3. Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Minhaajurrosyidiin (STAIMI) Jakarta, Indonesia
4. UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta, Indonesia

*Correspondence:
zuhrimahbub@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This qualitative case study investigates culturally responsive digital pedagogical practices in a multicultural Indonesian high school. Through semi-structured interviews, participatory observation, and document analysis involving three teachers and twelve students, the study reveals three core patterns of culture-technology integration: (1) contextual learning designs that incorporate local wisdom, (2) dialogic and egalitarian digital interactions, and (3) affirmation of cultural identity in digital learning spaces. The findings underscore that the success of digital pedagogy depends not on technological sophistication, but on the teacher's role as a cultural mediator and facilitator of reflective dialogue. This study contributes to the global discourse on culturally responsive digital pedagogy by offering empirical evidence from the underrepresented Indonesian context and proposing an initial conceptual model relevant to Southeast Asian socio-cultural settings. The model emphasizes contextual design, reflective dialogue, and identity affirmation as foundational pillars. Practical implications highlight the need for teacher professional development programs focused on digital-cultural competencies and equitable infrastructure policies to support inclusive digital transformation. The study also aligns with Indonesia's Merdeka Curriculum and national digitalization initiatives, advocating for pedagogy that harmonizes technology with local cultural values.

KEYWORDS
Culturally Responsive Pedagogy, Digital Pedagogy, Multicultural Education, Cultural Identity, Technology Integration

INTRODUCTION

The digital transformation of the last decade has brought fundamental changes to educational practices worldwide. Amid the currents of globalization and increased human mobility, classrooms have now become multicultural spaces where various identities, values, and languages converge. Ford (2010) emphasized the importance of affirming culturally diverse gifted students in classroom settings, highlighting that cultural diversity is not merely a social condition but also a pedagogical concern that demands intentional strategies. UNESCO (2023) notes that cultural and linguistic diversity in learning environments has significantly increased across the Asia-Pacific region, including Indonesia. In this context, digital technology is no longer merely a learning aid it has become a new arena for interaction,

negotiation of meaning, and the construction of intercultural identity (Condie et al., 2024; Gutiérrez-Ujaque, 2024; Robles-Carrillo, 2024). He (2023) further explains that the digital era presents both opportunities and challenges for cultural preservation and dissemination, as digital platforms shape how individuals reflect on and construct their identities.

As digital transformation accelerates, educational practices are being redesigned to respond to the complex challenges of increasingly culturally and linguistically diverse classrooms. Research in Indonesia indicates a significant surge in publications discussing the "digital transformation of education," particularly since the COVID-19 pandemic (Rozi et al., 2025). This suggests a massive national adaptation toward online and hybrid learning. Within this framework, digital pedagogy must go beyond technical sophistication to embrace social sustainability and contextual relevance (Huang et al., 2024).

Literatur review

Various studies reveal that technology integration in Indonesian classrooms often remains focused on instrumental aspects mastery of tools and platforms without a deeper understanding of the social and cultural dimensions of learning (Khalil & Zubaidah, 2023). This underscores a persistent gap between the technological potential and its culturally meaningful application in everyday pedagogy. Guzelergene, Cinar, and Nayir (2025) assert that managing multicultural classrooms particularly within digital environments requires adaptive and culturally responsive management skills.

Globally, academic literature on the intersection of digital pedagogy and multicultural education remains largely conceptual and dominated by perspectives from the Global North (Lee, 2022; Smith et al., 2022). Scarlett (2014) and Reif & Grant (2010) emphasize the need for culturally responsive classroom practices that incorporate affective and expressive dimensions such as arts and language to foster understanding across diverse identities. These dominant frameworks risk promoting a "one-size-fits-all" model that may not resonate with the unique socio-cultural landscape of Southeast Asia, particularly Indonesia, a nation marked by extreme linguistic, religious, and cultural complexity.

At the same time, Monica, Wibowo, and Harsono (2023) argue that digital literacy culture can be enhanced through local storytelling and folklore-based pedagogies, which emotionally connect learners with the content. Their perspective further reinforces the urgency of designing digital pedagogies that are not only technologically adaptive but also culturally and affectively responsive. Thus, integrating local culture into digital platforms is not merely an innovation it is a pedagogical imperative to ensure that digital learning remains inclusive, meaningful, and socially just.

Furthermore, studies by Nur et al. (2025) and Maharani (2025) provide evidence that digital platforms can effectively support the revitalization of local wisdom and cultural practices in the context of rapid modernization. Nur et al. explored how digital tools were used to actualize Betawi cultural values in education, while Maharani examined how pesantren (Islamic boarding schools) merged global competence with local traditions through digital media. These studies demonstrate that culturally responsive pedagogy in digital environments is not only possible but also essential in maintaining cultural continuity amidst technological disruption.

However, despite these encouraging findings, implementation challenges remain significant. Teachers often face limited professional development opportunities that specifically address the intersection of technology and cultural pedagogy (Pratiwi et al., 2023). Moreover, disparities in digital access particularly in rural or economically disadvantaged areas further complicate efforts to ensure equitable cultural expression in online learning. As Rahmaini et al. (2025) highlight, even youth who are digitally fluent (such as Gen Z) experience gaps in cultural awareness when digital content lacks local contextual grounding. Therefore, both pedagogical and infrastructural efforts must be aligned to support inclusive digital transformation that truly affirms student identities.

Research gaps

Based on this critical review, three interconnected research gaps are crystallized. First, there is a scarcity of holistic and context-sensitive pedagogical frameworks that provide concrete guidance for weaving together digital tools and local cultural values. Second, there exists a geographical and contextual imbalance in empirical evidence, with a dominance of studies from the Global North that offer limited transferability to the realities of Southeast Asian classrooms. Third, and most crucially for this study, is an empirical-praxis gap: a lack of fine-grained, qualitative field research that delves into the *authentic, daily experiences* of both teachers and students as they navigate the negotiation of identity, values, and technology in real-time digital learning interactions (Chen & Wang, 2022; Gutiérrez-Ujaque, 2024; Lion, 2023). While pioneering Indonesian studies (e.g., Zubaidah, 2019; Sulistyo et al., 2023) rightly champion the integration of local wisdom, few have provided a rich, empirical window into *how* teachers creatively and reflectively operationalize these values through the specific affordances and constraints of digital media in their everyday pedagogical practice.

Therefore, this research is designed to directly address these gaps. It moves beyond conceptual advocacy to provide an in-depth, grounded exploration of the lived practices of teachers as they integrate digital technology and local cultural values. This study posits that in a multicultural context, the efficacy of digital pedagogy is not a function of technological sophistication, but is fundamentally determined by the teacher's agency as a cultural mediator and facilitator of dialogic learning one who skillfully manages processes that honor diverse student identities and bridges local knowledge with global digital platforms.

Novelty and Contribution

The Novelty and Original Contribution of this study are twofold. First, it shifts the empirical locus of culturally responsive digital pedagogy discourse by generating rich, qualitative evidence from the under-represented Indonesian context a nation characterized by hyper-diversity and rapid, post-pandemic digital acceleration. Second, by focusing on the micro-level of daily classroom practice, it aims to produce not just a description, but a contextual, evidence-based conceptual model. This model seeks to explain *how* culturally responsive digital pedagogy functions in practice, offering insights that are theoretically informed yet pragmatically relevant to the socio-cultural fabric of Indonesia and the broader Southeast Asian region. Thus, this research contributes to a more inclusive and nuanced global understanding, arguing that sustainable and equitable digital transformation must be pedagogically grounded and culturally attuned.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study uses a qualitative approach with a single case study design to deeply understand culturally responsive digital pedagogical practices in the context of Indonesian education. The case study approach allows researchers to examine phenomena contextually, tracing the social and cultural dynamics that emerge in daily digital educational practices (Creswell & Poth, 2018; Miles, Huberman, & Saldaña, 2014). The main focus of this research is not statistical generalization, but building a deep conceptual understanding of how teachers and students negotiate values, identities, and technology in multicultural classrooms.

This design aligns with the idea of digital ethnography, which positions technology as a social space where interaction and learning occur (Pink et al., 2022). An interpretive approach was chosen because it provides space for participant experiences to be understood as meaning construction, not just measurable behavior. In the context of culturally responsive digital education, such understanding is crucial so that the research not only describes technology use but also reveals the social and emotional meanings behind it (Condie et al., 2024; Gutiérrez-Ujaque, 2024).

Research Location and Context

This research was conducted at a Public High School in South Jakarta selected using purposive sampling due to its multicultural characteristics and commitment to digital innovation, integrating various digital learning platforms such as Google Classroom, Canva for Education, and Kahoot!. This school was selected through purposive sampling for two main reasons: (1) the diversity of student ethnicity, language, and religion, representing the urban social reality of Indonesia; and (2) the school's commitment to inclusive technology-based learning innovation.

The context of this high school in a metropolitan area also reflects the dynamics of Indonesia's post-COVID-19 digital educational transformation, where technology integration is not only seen as an emergency need but has become part of a long-term strategy for achieving sustainable and equitable learning (Huang et al., 2024; Rozi et al., 2025). The diverse learning environment provides opportunities for teachers and students to interact across cultures, making it an ideal ground for observing how local values and global technology negotiate within the classroom space.

Research Participants

The research participants consisted of three teachers and twelve students from grades X and XI selected using purposive sampling. Participant selection considered active involvement in digital learning as well as diverse cultural backgrounds to enrich the data. The teachers came from three different subjects: language, science, and humanities, thus providing cross-disciplinary perspectives on the implementation of culturally responsive digital pedagogy.

This approach is consistent with the principle of maximum variation sampling (Creswell & Poth, 2018), which involves selecting participants with a wide variation of contexts to obtain a comprehensive picture of the phenomenon. Data collection was carried out through semi-

structured interviews, participatory observation, and analysis of digital documents (e.g., online assignments, discussion forums, and teaching materials). Data were analyzed using interactive thematic analysis methods to trace patterns of meaning, pedagogical strategies, and cross-cultural experiences (Miles et al., 2014).

Research Ethics

This research was conducted with strict attention to the ethical principles of social and educational research, as recommended by the British Educational Research Association (BERA, 2018) and the American Educational Research Association (AERA, 2019). Each participant was provided with written information regarding the purpose, benefits, and procedures of the research and signed an informed consent form. Participation was voluntary, and they could withdraw at any time without negative consequences.

The identities of all participants were anonymized using pseudonyms, while digital data was stored on encrypted devices to maintain confidentiality. The researcher also applied the principle of reflexivity, namely awareness of one's own position and biases during the research process (Pink et al., 2022). Reflective notes were compiled after each interview and observation session to ensure data interpretation was rooted in the authentic experiences of the participants. With the consistent application of ethics and this reflective approach, the research is expected to produce credible, integral, and contextual findings, while also strengthening the scientific contribution to the development of culturally responsive digital pedagogy in Indonesia.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

This research reveals that the integration of local cultural values in digital learning in a multicultural school in South Jakarta occurs creatively, reflectively, and contextually. Three teachers from different subject areas language, science, and social studies utilized various digital platforms such as Google Classroom, Canva for Education, Padlet, Kahoot!, and Flip not merely as technical media, but as spaces for representing student culture and identity.

The Indonesian language teacher, for example, assigned a digital storytelling project where students recreated regional legends and discussed their moral values through online forums. This allowed students to explore their ethnic roots while enhancing their language and critical thinking skills. The Biology teacher connected scientific topics such as ecosystems and biodiversity with indigenous environmental practices like *lubuk larangan* and *sasi laut*. These practices were discussed not only as content but as cultural perspectives embedded within local wisdom. Meanwhile, the Sociology teacher facilitated digital Padlet boards focused on themes such as togetherness, social responsibility, and regional identity, encouraging students to reflect on their lived experiences in a multicultural society.

In interviews, students expressed that they felt more included when allowed to incorporate regional languages, traditional expressions, or local customs into their assignments. Several students described how using their local language in digital presentations gave them a sense of pride and made the learning process more enjoyable. One student noted that, "I never thought I could speak Sundanese in a school assignment doing

that online made me feel proud of who I am." Others mentioned that including traditional elements such as batik motifs, local food, or folklore stories in visual projects made them feel their culture was respected and visible.

In observations, the researcher noted that classroom interactions often extended beyond cognitive learning. Teachers were seen facilitating discussions that encouraged students to listen to each other's perspectives, especially when regional differences emerged. Teachers did not correct these differences but highlighted them as valuable contributions to collective knowledge. This practice nurtured an egalitarian digital environment, where students from diverse backgrounds felt equally heard.

Teachers also demonstrated creative strategies to make digital learning culturally meaningful. In observations, one teacher modified online quizzes by including local context in the questions such as using place names, traditional customs, or cultural references making the material feel more familiar and engaging. Another teacher allowed students to create "digital cultural journals," where they documented family traditions or community activities using video, photos, and reflective text, then presented them in class.

Overall, in both interviews and observations, the data confirm three dominant pedagogical patterns: (1) Contextual digital learning design, where local culture is embedded in tasks and content; (2) Dialogic and inclusive digital interaction, in which students are invited to exchange ideas from their cultural perspectives; and (3) Affirmation of cultural identity, where students feel recognized, valued, and empowered in digital learning spaces. To further clarify how these patterns manifest in practice, Table 1 summarizes the core pedagogical practices, illustrative examples, and their corresponding outcomes as observed in this study. These patterns suggest that culturally responsive digital pedagogy in this school is not driven by technology alone but by thoughtful teacher practices that recognize diversity as a learning asset. Digital tools become meaningful when teachers actively position themselves as facilitators of cultural reflection and agents of inclusive education.

Table 1. Summary of Culturally Responsive Digital Pedagogical Practices: Patterns, Examples, and Outcomes

Core Pedagogical Pattern	Key Practices Observed	Illustrative Examples from the Field	Observed Outcomes for Students
Contextual Learning Designs	Integrating local wisdom into digital content and tasks.	- Using *digital storytelling* to explore regional legends (Indonesian Language). - Linking biology topics to indigenous conservation practices like *lubuk larangan* (Science). - Designing sociology projects on local social structures using *Padlet* (Humanities).	Learning becomes personally meaningful; increased relevance and connection to cultural heritage.
Dialogic & Egalitarian Digital Interactions	Facilitating reflective and equitable exchanges in digital spaces.	- Asynchronous discussion forums on *Google Classroom* about cultural values. - Collaborative cross-cultural projects using *Flip* or *Canva*. - Teachers encouraging all students to share perspectives in online discussions.	Enhanced intercultural dialogue; development of empathy and collaborative skills; feeling of equitable participation.

Affirmation of Cultural Identity	Validating and incorporating students' cultural identities in digital work.	- Allowing use of regional languages or symbols in digital assignments. - Assessment rubrics that value cultural expression and narratives. - Creating *digital identity portfolios* where students showcase cultural artifacts.	Increased engagement and motivation; strengthened sense of belonging and pride in cultural identity.

As illustrated in Table 1, these three patterns collectively demonstrate that culturally responsive digital pedagogy transcends mere technical tool use. Instead, it constitutes a social and reflective process in which teachers act as cultural mediators, and digital platforms become spaces for identity negotiation, intercultural dialogue, and the co-construction of inclusive knowledge.

Discussion

These findings strengthen the theoretical argument that digital technology can be an effective medium for multicultural education when used within the framework of culturally responsive digital pedagogy (Bertrand, Sezer, & Namukasa, 2024). In the highly diverse context of Indonesia, technology functions as a bridge between local and global values by transforming digital learning spaces into arenas for intercultural dialogue. This aligns with the idea of Condie et al. (2024) regarding pedagogy of digital identities, where learner identities are formed through social interaction in reflective and socially just (socio-technical justice) digital spaces.

Furthermore, the practices observed in the field show that the success of digital pedagogy is determined not by the sophistication of the tools, but by the teacher's role as a mediator of identity and a facilitator of intercultural dialogue. Teachers who are affirmative towards differences in language, accent, and cultural perspectives were able to create an inclusive classroom climate, as emphasized in the culturally sustaining pedagogy framework (Paris & Alim, 2017). The teacher's attitude of appreciating cultural variation strengthened student engagement and fostered cross-identity empathy, supporting the research findings of Irawan (2022) and Sulistyo et al. (2023) that integrating local wisdom into digital learning increases student motivation, pride, and depth of understanding.

On the other hand, this research highlights that digital spaces function as vessels for intercultural meaning negotiation. Students not only exchange information but also negotiate different social values in an equal context. This phenomenon shows a paradigm shift in digital learning from a cognitive orientation towards social and affective aspects, where interaction, identity representation, and cultural reflection become an integral part of the learning process (Gutiérrez-Ujaque, 2024). This confirms the view of Huang et al. (2024) that digital transformation in education should be human-centered and oriented towards socio-cultural sustainability.

Nevertheless, this research also found significant challenges. First, the digital access gap remains a major obstacle for students from different socio-economic backgrounds. Some students had difficulty uploading video-based projects or participating in online forums due to device limitations and internet connectivity. Second, teachers acknowledged that designing digital learning that incorporates cultural elements requires significant time and pedagogical competence. The third challenge relates to sensitivity to cultural and religious

issues, which demands teachers to be careful in selecting topics and managing discussions so as not to offend certain beliefs. This condition aligns with the findings of Khalil and Zubaidah (2023) that the implementation of culturally responsive digital pedagogy in developing countries is often hampered by infrastructure factors, training, and educators' critical awareness.

From a social perspective, this research indicates that culturally responsive digital learning practices have the potential to be a strategy for socially equitable education. When students from various regions are given equal opportunities to display their cultural identities, digital spaces become not only learning tools but also arenas for the democratization of knowledge. This expands the discourse of digital pedagogy towards ethical and intercultural dimensions, as asserted by Robles-Carrillo (2024) that digital spaces now also function to shape social identity and power relations between individuals. These insights are summarized in Table 2, which connects empirical observations, supporting theories, and broader implications for inclusive digital pedagogy.

Table 2. Alignment Between Field Findings, Theoretical Perspectives, and Pedagogical Implications of Culturally Responsive Digital Pedagogy

Field Findings	Theoretical Framework	Implications
Students use regional languages and symbols	Culturally Sustaining Pedagogy (Paris)	Promotes identity affirmation and pride
Dialog via Padlet, inclusive discussion	Digital Identity Pedagogy (Condie)	Builds empathy and intercultural awareness
Teacher as mediator of values	Socio-cultural Digital Pedagogy	Humanizes learning, supports diversity
Access and equity issues	Khalil & Zubaidah (2023)	Need for infrastructure + teacher training

Table 2 clearly demonstrates how empirical practices observed in the classroom align with established theoretical frameworks while also pointing toward broader pedagogical and policy implications. By making these connections explicit, the table not only validates the significance of the findings but also underscores the practical value of culturally responsive digital pedagogy in multicultural contexts. It becomes evident that when students are encouraged to express their cultural identities through digital means, and when teachers intentionally design inclusive, context-sensitive learning experiences, digital education can evolve into a transformative space for social equity, not just academic achievement. This integrated approach linking context, theory, and practice strengthens the argument that digital pedagogy must be both culturally grounded and socially purposeful to meet the challenges of 21st-century education.

Implications

Theoretically, this research enriches the literature on culturally responsive digital pedagogy with empirical evidence from the multicultural Indonesian context. Most previous studies have focused on Western contexts and are conceptual (Lee, 2022; Smith et al., 2022), whereas the results of this study offer a practice-based model relevant to the socio-cultural conditions of Southeast Asia.

Practically, teachers need to be encouraged to systematically integrate local cultural values into digital learning design, not merely as a supplement. This can be done through three main steps: (1) Contextual design, namely selecting digital content that reflects the local wisdom of students. (2) Reflective dialogue, by utilizing online forums, comments, or cross-cultural collaborative projects to encourage empathetic exchange of views. (3) Identity affirmation, through assessment policies that appreciate variations in language, symbols, and cultural narratives.

For policymakers in Indonesia, these findings offer actionable leverage points for two major national initiatives: the Merdeka Curriculum and the digital transformation of education. First, the study provides an empirical model for realizing the Merdeka Curriculum's emphasis on projek penguatan profil pelajar Pancasila, specifically the dimensions of "*Bhineka Tunggal Ika*" (Diversity) and "*Bergotong-royong*" (Collaboration). By training teachers to design digital projects that integrate local wisdom, the curriculum's abstract goals can be translated into concrete, engaging classroom practices.

Second, regarding national digitalization programs, this research argues that success should be measured not only by infrastructure rollout (devices and connectivity) but also by pedagogical readiness. We recommend that programs like Digital School or Pusat Data dan Teknologi Informasi (Pusdatin) incorporate mandatory training modules on *Integrasi Kearifan Lokal dalam Pembelajaran Digital*. This ensures that investments in hardware and software are matched with the human capacity to use them in ways that strengthen, rather than erode, students' cultural identities. A synergistic policy that couples infrastructure provision with culturally-responsive pedagogical training is essential for an equitable and meaningful digital transformation.

Limitations

This research has several limitations that need to be considered. First, the single case study design limits the generalizability of the results. Although the findings provide a deep understanding of the context of high schools in South Jakarta, they may not represent conditions in other regions with different levels of diversity and digital infrastructure. Second, the research data was primarily obtained from interviews and observations of teachers and students, thus not covering the perspectives of policymakers or parents who might also influence pedagogical practices. Third, this research did not extensively explore the use of immersive technologies like AR/VR, even though findings from other studies show their potential for contextualizing cultural values more deeply (Bertrand et al., 2024).

Furthermore, the qualitative analysis emphasizes depth of meaning, not quantitative measurement of impact. Therefore, further research with a mixed methods design would help validate the extent to which culturally responsive digital pedagogical practices influence learning outcomes, empathy, or students' intercultural awareness in a measurable way.

Suggestions for Further Research

Based on these limitations, several directions for further research are suggested. First, replicating the study in various regions of Indonesia with different cultural characteristics and digital infrastructure to obtain a comparative picture of culturally responsive digital

pedagogical practices. Second, developing an evidence-based conceptual model that combines technological, cultural, and affective aspects, so it can be used as a guide for teacher training and curriculum development. Third, quantitative research or action research can be conducted to measure the impact of implementing this approach on student engagement, creativity, and tolerance.

Third, this research did not extensively explore the use of immersive technologies like Augmented Reality (AR) or Virtual Reality (VR), although literature cites their potential for deep cultural contextualization (Bertrand et al., 2024). This choice was pragmatic and contextual: the participating school, while innovative, prioritized the mastery of more accessible and widely used platforms (e.g., Google Classroom, Canva, Padlet) that align with the daily realities of most Indonesian classrooms. Exploring AR/VR would have introduced a significant variable cutting-edge technology that could have overshadowed the core investigation into pedagogical processes and teacher agency. Future research is encouraged to examine how these immersive tools can be operationalized within the culturally responsive framework proposed here, once basic digital integration and pedagogical principles are firmly established.

Finally, this research shows that the success of digital pedagogy in a multicultural era is not just about the sophistication of tools, but about how technology can be humanized and become a space that respects diversity, fosters dialogue, and strengthens solidarity across identities. Thus, these results are expected to be a tangible contribution to the new direction of digital educational transformation that is socially equitable, culturally rooted, and sustainable.

CONCLUSION

This research concludes that the success of culturally responsive digital pedagogy in Indonesian multicultural classrooms does not depend on technological sophistication, but on cultural sensitivity and the reflective role of the teacher as a mediator of identity. By integrating local values into digital platforms such as Google Classroom, Canva, Padlet, and Flip, teachers successfully created learning spaces that are not only interactive but also respect diversity and foster intercultural empathy. Digital technology has proven to function as a vessel for social dialogue, where students negotiate identities, reflect on cultural values, and build collective awareness of diversity. This practice shows that digital transformation in education must be human-centered by honoring local social and cultural contexts while utilizing technology as a means of inclusive, equitable, and sustainable learning.

REFERENCES

AERA. (2019). *Code of ethics of the American Educational Research Association.* American Educational Research Association. https://doi.org/10.3102/9780935302972

BERA. (2018). *Ethical guidelines for educational research* (4th ed.). British Educational Research Association. https://www.bera.ac.uk/publication/ethical-guidelines-for-educational-research-2018

Bertrand, M. G., Sezer, H. B., & Namukasa, I. K. (2024). *Exploring AR and VR tools in mathematics education through culturally responsive pedagogies. Digital Experiences in Mathematics Education, 10*, 462–486. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-024-00152-x

Chen, L., & Wang, Y. (2022). *Integrating cultural dimensions into mobile-assisted language learning: A systematic review. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 35*(8), 1890–1915. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2022.2058852

Condie, J., Di Martino, L., Hanckel, B., Mallawa Arachchi, T., & Chitranshi, B. (2024). *A pedagogy of digital identities: Identity as a conceptual lens for teaching socio-technical justice. Digital Culture & Education.* https://doi.org/10.58079/dce-2024-001

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Gutiérrez-Ujaque, J. (2024). *Introduction to digital pedagogy: A proposed framework for design and teaching in higher education. Journal of Learning Design & Technology, 12*(1), 45–62. https://doi.org/10.1080/1554480X.2024.2396944

Huang, R., Adarkwah, M. A., Liu, M., Hu, Y., Zhuang, R., & Chang, T. (2024). *Digital pedagogy for sustainable education transformation: Enhancing learner-centred learning in the digital era. Smart Learning Environments, 11*(1), Article 31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44366-024-0031-x

Irawan, A. (2022). *Mengembangkan pedagogi digital yang berkeadilan sosial di kelas multikultural Indonesia. Jurnal Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan, 7*(2), 145–160. https://doi.org/10.24832/jpnk.v7i2.345

Khalil, N., & Zubaidah, S. (2023). *Challenges and strategies of culturally responsive digital pedagogy: A systematic review. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 26*(1), 45–59. https://doi.org/10.30134/jets.v26i1.1234

Lee, H. (2022). *Digital storytelling for culturally responsive pedagogy in multicultural classrooms. International Journal of Multicultural Education, 24*(1), 22–40. https://doi.org/10.18251/ijme.v24i1.2850

Lion, G. (2023). *Digital tools in the intercultural classroom: A review of recent practices. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 36*(5–6), 789–810. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2022.1234567

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.

Pink, S., Horst, H., Postill, J., Hjorth, L., Lewis, T., & Tacsir, A. (2022). *Digital ethnography: Principles and practice* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Pratiwi, D., Sari, R. P., & Hidayat, A. (2023). *Tantangan guru dalam mengintegrasikan teknologi digital dengan nilai-nilai kearifan lokal di sekolah menengah. Jurnal Inovasi Teknologi Pendidikan, 10*(1), 78–92. https://doi.org/10.21831/jitp.v10i1.56789

Robles-Carrillo, M. (2024). *Digital identity: An approach to its nature, concept, and functionalities. International Journal of Law and Information Technology, 32*, eaae019. https://doi.org/10.1093/ijlit/eaae019

Rozi, M. A. F., Arafah, N. N., Kamal, L., & Sunoko, A. (2025). *Mapping the digital transformation of education in Indonesia from 2012 to early 2025: A bibliometric analysis of Scopus-indexed publications. Journal of Educational Research and Practice, 3*(2). https://doi.org/10.70376/jerp.v3i2.390

Smith, J., Brown, K., & Davis, L. (2022). *Beyond the tool: A framework for critical digital pedagogy in multicultural teacher education. Teaching and Teacher Education, 119*, 103855. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2022.103855

Sulistyo, U., Wahyudi, A. B., & Fadilah, N. (2023). *Developing a culturally responsive e-module based on local wisdom for primary schools in Indonesia. Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn), 17*(1), 130–138. https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v17i1.20745

UNESCO. (2023). *Digital transformation in education in Asia-Pacific: Policy brief.* UNESCO Publishing. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000381972

Zubaidah, S. (2019). *STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Mathematics): Pembelajaran untuk memberdayakan keterampilan abad ke-21. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika dan Sains*, 1–18.

Paris, D., & Alim, H. S. (2017). *Culturally sustaining pedagogies: Teaching and learning for justice in a changing world.* Teachers College Press.

Ford, D. Y. (2010). Multicultural Issues: Culturally Responsive Classrooms: Affirming Culturally Different Gifted Students. Gifted Child Today, 33(1), 50–53. https://doi.org/10.1177/107621751003300112

Guzelergene, E. S., Cinar, D. B., & Nayir, F. (2025, July). Managing multicultural classrooms: Characteristics of culturally responsive classroom management. Journal of Pedagogical Sociology and Psychology. https://doi.org/10.33902/jpsp.202533262

He, Y. (2023, October). Cultural Opportunities and Challenges in the Digital Era: A Study on the Inheritance, Dissemination, and Innovation of Chinese Culture Based on ChatGPT. Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media, 20(1), 78–87. https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7048/20/20231481

Monica, B., Wibowo, S. E., & Harsono, A. M. B. (2023, October). The Challenges of Literacy Culture in the Digital Era: The Role of Fairy Tales through the Country in Improving Literacy and Numerical Literacy. Al Ibtida Jurnal Pendidikan Guru MI, 10(2), 265–274. https://doi.org/10.24235/al.ibtida.snj.v10i2.12412

Nur, G. S., Kristanto, G. S., Hardian, J. A. R., S, J. E., & Mandala, N. P. (2025, October). Actualizing the Local Wisdom of the Betawi Community through the Utilization of Digital Era Technology. Journal Of Social Research, 4(10), 2939–2954. https://doi.org/10.55324/josr.v4i10.2820

Reif, N., & Grant, L. (2010, April). Culturally responsive classrooms through art integration. Journal of Praxis in Multicultural Education, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.9741/2161-2978.1035

Scarlett, W. G. (2014). Culturally responsive classrooms. In W. G. Scarlett (Ed.), The SAGE Encyclopedia of Classroom Management (pp. 193-196). SAGE Publications, Inc. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781483346243.n86

Rahmaini, I. S., Hanandini, D., Pramono, W., & Malik, R. M. I. (2025). Do It Yourself Travel as a Gen Z Travel Culture in the Digital Era in Indonesia. *Jurnal Sosiologi Andalas*, 11(1), 95–109. https://doi.org/10.25077/jsa.11.1.95-109.2025

Maharani, M. P. (2025). Transformation of Islamic boarding school culture in the digital era: Collaboration of local values and global competence. *International Journal of Technology and Education Research, 3*(1), 43–45. https://doi.org/10.63922/ijeter.v3i01.1468